

## STRATEGIC ACTION PLANNING GROUP ON AGING

Monday, January 11, 2016

1 – 4:00pm

1290 Broadway

First Floor Independence Conference Room

### Meeting Minutes

---

**Planning Group members present:** Donna Baros, John Barry, Karen Brown, Wade Buchanan, Dale Elliot, Susan Franklin, Mindy Kemp, Linda Mitchell, Ben Moultrie, Jean Nofles, Barbara Raynor, Jim Riesberg, Jennifer Schaufele, Sharron Williams

**By phone:** Dave Norman, Steve Child, Sally Thoreson, Claire Anderson, Doug Farmer, Rob Andresen

**Staff:** Lorez Meinhold, Keystone

**DOLA Staff:** Elizabeth Garner

**Next Meeting:** Monday, January 25<sup>th</sup>, 9:00 – 12:00pm at DRCOG, 1290 Broadway

---

- I. Chairman Jim Riesberg called the Planning Group to order and took roll call.
- II. The meeting minutes of December 7th were approved with unanimous consent with the following change/ clarification.
  - a. Requested changes to minutes, page 3, last bulleted section, not be government agencies. Minutes moved and approved with those changes.
- III. Presentations
  - a. Division of Aging and Adult Services and State's Adult Protective Services programs briefing – Mindy Kemp
  - b. Provided a presentation on aging and adult services programs related in Colorado. The presentation provided by Ms. Kemp can be found on the Planning Group [website](#).
  - c. Conducting a study to get beyond how many services and rather impact/ outcome
    - i. Questions:
      1. When circulate report, will you have a sense of \$40 m to understand levels of effort? Mindy – different – focus on size of community to determine case works related to aps (some smaller share case workers)
      2. What is the level of adequacy compared to need? Mindy – SB111 – anticipated 15% and ended up being 40%. Doing an amazing job meeting needs. Have quality oversight – and have been performing well. Try to anticipate funding needs and ask for that. SB109 task force – recommendation with a dollar amount, July 1, counties responsible for that (training for mandatory reporters is needed) took information from other states as a base.
      3. Were all reports investigated? Have a screen in process, a tool to determine if further investigation is needed. Needs to be a vulnerable

adult – 70 and older and meets IV – something in category of abuse or neglect.

4. How many are self-reporting – might be an education opportunity? Mindy will follow up.
5. How many individuals the AAAs serve in a year? Also from Elizabeth – what are the numbers of over 60? Mindy will follow up
6. AAAs have their own service areas? What are the AAAs service load? Unmet demand?
7. Dave N. shared that in their region, 38,000 over 60 in counties and serve 3,000 – 8% of the population are being served – average demographic women, live alone, in their 90s.

Jim asked anyone interested in being a part of the planning group to directly apply to the Governor's Boards and Commissions. <https://www.tfaforms.com/290254>

#### IV. Public Comment

Ed Shackelford spoke to the resource that the Senior Lobby could be to the Planning Group – boots on the ground.

Jeff Hart, resident of metro area and candidate for State House District 6: attended physical committee meeting this morning – important to make sure aligned with state framework (logic model)

#### V. Presentations (cont.)

- a. Jim reviewed JBC briefing on state aging spending which can be found on the Planning Group [website](#).
  - i. 60+ projected to grow by 62.9 %
  - ii. 23.5% will be over 60 by 2030 (up from 18.6% in 2014)
  - iii. Douglas, Boulder and Jefferson will experience the largest increases
  - iv. 27% increase in demand for gerontological social workers, 23% medical and health service providers
  - v. Total appropriations for seniors have grown by 117.5% between 04-05 and 14-15. Per capita from 24.27 (low in 05-06) to 33.53 (high in 14-15)
- b. Jim then reviewed the numbers for dollars coming into different agencies from the federal level from AOA and Administration for Community Living. Working to get a presentation from the Administrative on Aging Office for a future meeting.
  - i. Questions:
    1. Are those documents available? Working to ensure that these numbers are correct before sharing
    2. Sallie from CDPHE added some additional funding coming to state for fall prevention - \$400,000 over two years

3. In our committees, can we think about ways to braid funding or leverage opportunities? How can we do more without more dollars, cost savings – how to move forward?

VI. First Quarter Meeting Schedule

- a. Propose meeting monthly for two months (February and March) so that committees can focus on their work. The expectation is that committees would meet more in place of that meeting.
- b. Wade moved, Dale seconded, unanimous support, motion carries.

VII. Timeline

- a. Lorez reviewed the draft timeline with planning group.
- b. It was recommended that the committees should create a timeline that fits within this to ensure will meet the needed deliverables.
- c. Discussed how to cover the topics in May and June – want to hear from all committees but is there a way to focus more intently on recommendations of 3 committees in May and the remaining 3 in June.

VIII. Reports

- a. Executive Committee
  - i. Had a couple of meetings
  - ii. Plan the agenda for meetings
  - iii. Talked about the data RFP, literature review
  - iv. Talked about activity of committees
  - v. Concerned about the pace
  - vi. Need data needs to executive committee ASAP
  - vii. Please share information related to speakers, data and information that should be in front of the planning group as a whole
- b. Technical Advisory Committee
  - i. Has not met since last meeting
- c. Workforce Development
  - i. Did not meet in December
- d. Family Economic Security
  - i. Meeting tomorrow
- e. Physical Community
  - i. Had a meeting this morning - identified three outcomes for their topics and began to talk to the objectives. Will be scheduling another meeting scheduled this month
- f. Health and Wellness
  - i. 14 members, refining outcomes to reflect more than health care but to be inclusive of
- g. Public Finance
  - i. Meeting on the 13<sup>th</sup> of January
- h. Supportive Community
  - i. Met and reviewed documents in the google site

- ii. 2-3 more to be added – white house conference on aging to be included (140 pages)
      - iii. Timeline for their committee to create something similar
      - iv. 11-12 members of the committee
      - v. One document to be reviewed by a committee member and have them pull objectives and learnings
      - vi. 2<sup>nd</sup> Friday of each month for one of the meetings
      - vii. Submitted data needs
    - i. Comments:
      - i. How do we work to get statewide feedback
        - 1. Might be interest from funders to fund this activity
- IX. Consulting Services
  - a. Research
    - i. Potentially mean a couple of different consultants to meet the needs and get the information
    - ii. Concern with the timeline of getting the RFP / RFI out
    - iii. Should this be left to the technical advisory committee
    - iv. Discussion and a request to allocate responsibility to executive committee and incorporate interested members from technical advisory to develop as well as share with the committees and timing important. Ben moved and Barbara seconded – unanimous approval.
  - b. Literature review
    - i. Reports related to work/ outcome, but also to create a summary
    - ii. Reviewed the literature review proposal and made additions / changes
    - iii. A motion was made to allow the Executive Committee to move forward with accomplishing the literature review – Barb moved, Jean seconded, unanimous approval.
- X. Public Comment
  - a. none
- XI. Next meeting: January 25, 9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
- XII. Meeting was adjourned.